



DO NOT ENTER

NKW

August 10, 2009

*AFJFW*

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
USA  
Fax Number 001-571-273-8300

From Alexander Cherkasky  
Prinz-Georg-Str. 5  
40477 Dusseldorf  
Germany

First Named Inventor and  
Applicant: Alexander Cherkasky  
Title of invention: Cherkasky fusion proteins containing antibody-, antigen- and microtubule-binding regions and immune-response triggering regions

Filing Date: 04/28/2006  
Application No.: 10/577,613  
Publication No.: US20070106066  
Response to Action of examiner Dr. Nicole Kinsey White

Dear Mr. Gary Nickol,  
Dear Madam, Dear Sir,

I appeal the final action made by the examiner Mrs. Dr. Kinsey White and I protest against the fact that she has made that action, because I asked to change the examiner and to delegate my patent application to any other examiner. Mrs. Dr. Kinsey White ignored and continued to ignore my arguments and her letters evoke the assumption, that she defends interests of those third parties who might be not interested, that this patent will be granted to me. The following are reasons for this my assumption:

1. On page 6 of her "Final Action" Mrs. Dr. Kinsey White has written: "Claims 22, 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Kobatake et al... Kobatake et al discloses fusion proteins comprising maltose binding protein and Staphylococcal protein A." My claim 22 reads: "Fusion proteins comprising: regions selected from the group consisting of antibody binding regions, and microtubule-binding regions." Thus, my invention comprises

- a. fusion proteins containing antibody-binding regions and microtubule-binding regions and
- b. these my fusion proteins can be used as medicaments against cancer.

Thereby, Kobatake et al has disclosed proteins that are not claimed by myself in this patent application, his fusion proteins differ from those of mine both in structure and purpose and his fusion proteins are not for therapeutic purposes as my disclosed fusion proteins. I tried to convince Mrs. Dr. Kinsey White, but she seems to be resistant to my arguments. She ignored my arguments and it seems to be not possible to convince her.

2. On page 5 of her "Final Action" Mrs. Dr. Kinsey White has written: "Claims 11, 12, 14, 18, 22, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Yoshida et al... Yoshida et al discloses fusion proteins comprising tau (microtubule-binding region) and GFP."

My fusion proteins comprise antigen-binding regions, microtubule-binding regions and immune-response triggering regions, therefore Yoshida's tau-GFP fusion can not be of relevance for my invention, exactlier for novelty of my invention. But despite of these facts she rejects all my claims!